

120 Rikard Circle Gilbert, SC 29054

**Grades** 6-8 Middle School

Enrollment 700 Students

PrincipalBenjamin Ricard803-821-1700SuperintendentDr. Karen C. Woodward803-821-1000

**Board Chair** Cynthia S. Smith 803-957-5095

# 2010 REPORT CARD

### RATINGS OVER 5-YEAR PERIOD YFAR ABSOLUTE RATING GROWTH RATING 2010 Average Good 2009 Average Average 2008 Average Below Average 2007 Average At-Risk

At-Risk

### **DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS**

Average

2006

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- At-Risk School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision

### SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL

By 2010, SC's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country.

## SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE VISION

By 2020 all students will graduate with the knowledge and skills necessary to compete successfully in the global economy, participate in a democratic society and contribute positively as members of families and communities.

http://ed.sc.gov http://www.eoc.sc.gov **GILBERT MIDDLE** 03/09/11-3201054


# Percent of Student PASS Records Matched for Purpose of Computing Growth Rating

Percent of students tested in 2009-10 whose 2008-09 test scores were located

97.5%

ABSOLUTE RATINGS OF MIDDLE SCHOOLS WITH STUDENTS LIKE OURS*								
Excellent	Excellent Good		Below Average	At-Risk				
7	15	16	0	1				

<sup>\*</sup> Ratings are calculated with data available by 03/09/2011.



<sup>\*</sup> Middle schools with Students Like Ours are middle schools with poverty indices of no more than 5% above or below the index for the school.

Definition of 0	Critical Terms
Exemplary	"Exemplary" means the student demonstrated exemplary performance in meeting the grade level standard.
Met	"Met" means the student met the grade level standard.
Not Met	"Not Met" means that the student did not meet the grade level standard.

GILBERT MIDDLE 03/09/11-3201054

# End of Course Tests

Percent of tests with scores of 70 or above on:	Our Middle School	Middle Schools with Students Like Ours
Algebra 1/Math for the Technologies 2	100.0%	98.9%
English 1	98.0%	96.5%
Physical Science	N/A	42.1%
US History and the Constitution	N/A	100.0%
All Subjects	99.0%	96.8%

School Profile				
	Our School	Change from Last Year	Middle Schools with Students Like Ours	Median Middle School
Students (n=700)				
Students enrolled in high school credit courses (grades 7 & 8)	15.8%	Up from 12.5%	32.6%	24.2%
Retention rate	0.3%	No Change	0.5%	0.7%
Attendance rate	95.5%	Down from 95.7%	96.2%	95.9%
Eligible for gifted and talented	16.1%	Up from 15.5%	23.7%	16.4%
With disabilities other than speech	14.5%	Down from 14.8%	10.8%	12.0%
Older than usual for grade	1.0%	Up from 0.7%	1.1%	2.2%
Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent and/or criminal offenses	0.0%	Down from 0.3%	0.4%	0.5%
Annual dropout rate	0.0%	No Change	0.0%	0.0%
Teachers (n=54)				
Teachers with advanced degrees	61.1%	Down from 63.6%	60.8%	58.5%
Continuing contract teachers	83.3%	Down from 83.6%	82.4%	80.0%
Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates	2.0%	Down from 3.9%	3.9%	4.0%
Teachers returning from previous year	92.5%	Up from 89.8%	87.2%	84.6%
Teacher attendance rate	94.2%	Down from 94.4%	95.7%	95.4%
Average teacher salary*	\$48,859	Up 0.8%	\$47,561	\$46,561
Professional development days/teacher	8.4 days	Down from 10.2 days	10.5 days	10.2 days
School				
Principal's years at school	4.0	Up from 3.0	4.0	4.0
Student-teacher ratio in core subjects	19.1 to 1	Up from 18.7 to 1	21.5 to 1	21.1 to 1
Prime instructional time	88.0%	Down from 88.4%	91.2%	90.4%
Opportunities in the arts	Excellent	No Change	Excellent	Good
SACS accreditation	Yes	No Change	Yes	Yes
Parents attending conferences	100.0%	Up from 98.3%	96.9%	98.1%
Character development program	Excellent	No Change	Good	Good
Dollars spent per pupil**	\$8,322	Up 8.5%	\$7,202	\$7,802
Percent of expenditures for instruction**	65.6%	Down from 67.4%	65.6%	63.8%
Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries**	64.3%	Up from 50.7%	62.4%	60.0%

<sup>\*</sup> Includes current year teachers contracted for 185 or more days.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Prior year audited financial data are reported.

GILBERT MIDDLE 03/09/11-3201054

# Report of Principal and School Improvement Council

During the 2009–2010 school year, the faculty and staff of Gilbert Middle School focused on the theme "Putting the Pieces Together." This theme was the center of our collaborative efforts to create a learning environment in which all students can find success. Whether in the classroom, on the performance stage, or on the athletic field, we want to ensure that our students have every opportunity to reach their full potential.

Throughout the year, our teachers worked to implement and refine our Response To Intervention initiative. We believe that this system of interventions will greatly improve student achievement on our campus. Our ongoing professional development on developing common assessments is improving the manner in which our teachers measure students' understanding of the content taught. We have already seen great results and will continue to provide time for our faculty to work together to develop quality lessons and assessments that are on-target with our state standards. In addition to our work with RTI and common assessments, we continue to utilize the elements of the Making Middle Grades Work framework for school improvement.

With the help of our Parent Teacher Organization and School Improvement Council, we were able to plan and carry out special events such as our annual Open House, as well as a Math Night that was designed to provide parents with practical ideas for helping their child with mathematics. These groups also helped us improve our student recognition programs. We are very proud of the success of our "Making a Difference" program. Each quarter, teachers recognize students who have made a positive difference for themselves, the school, or the community. These programs have been well-attended by our parents. We have truly enjoyed celebrating all of the great things our students have done to make a difference!

We are proud of the continued success of our fine arts department. Our band, chorus and orchestra all received Superior and Excellent ratings at state and national festivals. Our band received the S.C. Band Director's Association's prestigious Outstanding Performance Award for the first time since 2000. We anticipate that all of these programs will continue to be successful.

GMS students participated in several community service projects this year. Our Pro-Team students donated \$1,500 to the Sea Turtle Hospital located at the S.C. Aquarium. Our Relay For Life team was named "Most Spirited" at the annual event. Our students helped to raise enough money to purchase and present a new set of service flags to the Lexington High School JROTC. We did this to say "thank you" to this outstanding group for all they have done for our school. community and district.

We appreciate the support we receive from our parents and the community. We also want to thank our PTO and SIC for their assistance in enhancing the educational experience of our students.

Benji Ricard, Principal Karen Gabert, SIC Chair

Evaluations by Teachers, Students and Parents								
	Teachers	Students*	Parents*					
Number of surveys returned	39	208	131					
Percent satisfied with learning environment	97.4%	80.3%	87.5%					
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	97.4%	83.0%	86.0%					
Percent satisfied with school-home relations	94.9%	87.4%	82.9%					

<sup>\*</sup> Only students at the highest middle school grade level and their parents were included.

GILBERT MIDDLE 03/09/11-3201054

### No Child Left Behind

# School Adequate Yearly Progress

This school met 17 out of 19 objectives. The objectives included student performance, graduation rate or student attendance, and participation in the state testing program.

Definition: As required by the United States Department of Education, Adequate Yearly Progress specifies that the statewide target is met for "All Students" and for the following subgroups: Racial/Ethnic, Subsidized Meals, Disability, and Limited English Proficiency in the areas of English/Language Arts and Mathematics, as well as meeting the statewide target for "All Students" for attendance or graduation rate.

# School Improvement Status

School	Improvement Key
NI	Newly Identified-The school missed adequate yearly progress (AYP) for two years. Sanction: Offer school choice.
CSI	Continuing School Improvement-The school missed AYP for three years. Sanctions: Continue school choice and implement supplemental services.
CA	Corrective Action-The school missed AYP for four years. Sanction: Continue school choice and supplemental services. The school district takes a corrective action.
RP	Plan to Restructure-Sanctions: Continue school choice and supplemental services. Develop a plan to restructure. If the school misses AYP the next year, the school implements the restructuring plan.
R	Restructure-The school missed AYP after two years of corrective action. Sanctions: Implement the restructuring plan. Continue school choice and supplemental services.
DELAY	The school met AYP in all subgroups and the indicator for one year, thus the delay provision applies. The school remains in the same status as last year and is referred to as in "Delay."
HOLD	The school made progress for one year in the subject area that identified the school for school improvement. The school remains in the same status as last year and is referred to as in "Hold."

Teacher Quality and Student Attendance		
	Our District	State
Classes in low poverty schools not taught by highly qualified teachers	0.6%	1.9%
Classes in high poverty schools not taught by highly qualified teachers	N/A	5.6%

	Our School	State Objective	Met State Objective
Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers	3.4%	0.0%	No
Student attendance rate	95.5%	94.0%*	Yes

<sup>\*</sup> Or greater than last year

GIEBEITT MIBBEE								00/	00/11 0/	201001
PASS Performance B	y Group									
	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	% Tested	% Not Met	% Met	% Exemplary	School % Met or Exemplary*	District % Met or Exemplary*	State % Met or Exemplary*	Performance Objective Met	Participation Objective Met
English/Lang	uage Arl	s - Stat	e Perfor	mance	Objectiv	e = 58.	8% (Me	t or Exe	mplary)	
All Students	708	100	28.8	33.8	37.4	83.5	89	83.5	Yes	Yes
Gender										
Male	358	100	33.2	34.1	32.7	78.4	86.2	80.1	N/A	N/A
Female	350	100	24.2	33.5	42.3	88.8	91.9	87	N/A	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group										
White	619	100	26.5	33.4	40	85.1	90.7	89.6	Yes	Yes
African American	36	100	57.6	33.3	9.1	60.6	76.9	74.6	I/S	I/S
Asian/Pacific Islander	6	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	95.4	92.7	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	42	100	38.5	43.6	17.9	79.5	82.4	79.6	I/S	Yes
American Indian/Alaskan	5	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	89.3	85.1	I/S	I/S
Disability Status										
Disabled	110	100	68.3	22.8	8.9	45.5	53.9	51.7	No	Yes
Migrant Status										
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	69.5	N/A	N/A
English Proficiency										
Limited English Proficient	30	100	40.6	37.5	21.9	75	83.3	79	I/S	I/S
Socio-Economic Status										
Subsidized meals	328	100	40.5	35	24.5	75.2	80.1	76.9	Yes	Yes
Mathema	atics - S	tate Per	forman	ce Obie	ctive = 5	57.8% (1	Met or E	xempla	rv)	
All Students	708	100	30.9	44.2	24.9	80.6	86.2	80.4	Yes	Yes
Gender										
Male	358	100	30.9	43.7	25.4	79.3	84.9	78.4	N/A	N/A
Female	350	100	30.8	44.7	24.5	81.9	87.6	82.5	N/A	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group										
White	619	100	28.2	44.9	26.9	82.8	88.2	87.8	Yes	Yes
African American	36	100	51.5	36.4	12.1	57.6	72	69.3	I/S	I/S
Asian/Pacific Islander	6	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	93.9	93.5	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	42	100	53.8	38.5	7.7	66.7	78.2	78.3	I/S	Yes
American Indian/Alaskan	5	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	87.5	83.2	I/S	I/S
Disability Status										
Disabled	110	100	74.3	23.8	2	46.5	52.7	46.1	No	Yes
Migrant Status										
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	71.4	N/A	N/A
English Proficiency										
Limited English Proficient	30	100	53.1	40.6	6.3	68.8	81.4	78.9	I/S	I/S
Socio-Economic Status										
Subsidized meals	328	100	41.5	43.8	14.7	72.2	76.1	72.8	Yes	Yes

<sup>\*</sup> Adjusted to account for natural variation in performance.

GILBERT MIDDLE							03/09/11-	3201054
PASS Performance By	Group							
	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	% Tested	% Not Met	% Met	% Exemplary	School % Met or Exemplary	District % Met or Exemplary	State % Met or Exemplary
			Scien	ce				
All Students	482	100	18.2	36.4	45.4	81.8	80.7	67.3
Gender								
Male	241	100	22.3	30.1	47.6	77.7	80.2	66.9
Female	241	100	14.1	42.7	43.2	85.9	81.2	67.7
Racial/Ethnic Group								
White	420	100	16	35.3	48.6	84	83.4	79.6
African American	23	100	38.1	42.9	19	61.9	59.9	49.7
Asian/Pacific Islander	4	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	90.4	84.4
Hispanic	31	100	34.5	44.8	20.7	65.5	70	59.4
American Indian/Alaskan	4	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	76.2	69.5
Disability Status								
Disabled	71	100	56.3	28.1	15.6	43.8	46.5	33.8
Migrant Status								
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	36.5
English Proficiency								
Limited English Proficient	22	100	33.3	41.7	25	66.7	69.9	58.6
Socio-Economic Status								
Subsidized meals	215	100	24.6	43.2	32.2	75.4	68	55.4
			Social St	udies				
All Students	484	99.8	30.5	44.5	25.1	69.5	81.5	70.9
Gender								
Male	244	99.6	26.9	43.2	29.9	73.1	81.5	70.1
Female	240	100	34.1	45.9	20.1	65.9	81.4	71.7
Racial/Ethnic Group								
White	424	100	29.1	44.7	26.2	70.9	82.9	79.2
African American	24	95.8	45.5	45.5	9.1	54.5	68.5	58.4
Asian/Pacific Islander	5	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	91.3	86.8
Hispanic	29	100	46.2	38.5	15.4	53.8	78.6	68
American Indian/Alaskan	2	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	82.9	71.2
Disability Status								
Disabled	76	98.7	57.1	38.6	4.3	42.9	49.9	39.3
Migrant Status								
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	55
English Proficiency								
Limited English Proficient	22	100	39.1	43.5	17.4	60.9	79.2	68
Socio-Economic Status								

46.1 37.4 16.5 53.9

69.1

222

Subsidized meals

99.6

GILBERT MIDDLE 03/09/11-3201054									201054	
PASS Performance By Group										
	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	% Tested	% Not Met	% Met	% Exemplary	School % Met or Exemplary	District % Met or Exemplary	State % Met or Exemplary	School Attendance Rate	District Attendance Rate
				Writing	J					
All Students	703	99.6	26.4	44.8	28.8	73.6	79.4	72.1	95.5	97.1
Gender										
Male	354	99.4	34.2	44.2	21.6	65.8	73.4	65.2	95.4	97.1
Female	349	99.7	18.4	45.5	36.1	81.6	85.6	79.2	95.7	97.2
Racial/Ethnic Group										
White	616	99.7	24.1	44.9	31	75.9	81.6	80.8	95.6	97.1
African American	36	97.2	53.1	37.5	9.4	46.9	65.2	59.7	94.9	97.3
Asian/Pacific Islander	6	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	87.7	87	98.8	97.9
Hispanic	40	100	41	48.7	10.3	59	66.3	64.6	95	97.2
American Indian/Alaskan	5	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	82.8	73.4	94.8	96.3

Disability Status
Disabled

Migrant Status

Limited English Proficient

Socio-Economic Status

Subsidized meals

Migrant
English Proficiency

108

N/A

29

322

98.2

N/AV

100

99.4

66

N/A

46.9

33.9

32

N/A

37.5

47.7

2

N/A

15.6

18.4 66.1

34

N/A

53.1

35.6

N/A

67.1

66.5

27.7

63.5

63.7

61.9

94.3

N/A

95.8

94.5

96.4

N/A

97.8

96.3

GILBERT MIDDLE 03/09/11-3201054

GIEDERT MIDDEE								
PASS	S Performano	e By Grade L	evel					
	Grade	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	% Tested	% Not Met	% Met	% Exemplary	% Met or Exemplary	
			Englisl	h/Language A	rts			
	3	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
6	4	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
2009		N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
20	5 6	245	99.6	16.9	40.7	42.4	83.1	
	7	218	100	26.8	44.9	28.3	73.2	
	8	214	99.5	30	35	35	70	
	3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
0	4	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
$\bar{\Xi}$		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
2010	5 6	221	100	24.8	37.6	37.6	75.2	
	7	258	100	26.9	34.7	38.4	73.1	
	8	229	100	34.7	29.2	36.1	65.3	
			M	lathematics				
	3	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
6	4	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
2009	5	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
7	6	245	100	28.8	44.1	27.1	71.2	
	7	218	100	22.4	44.9	32.7	77.6	
	8	214	99.5	41.9	38.4	19.7	58.1	
	3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
0	4	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
2010	5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
2	6 7	221	100	25.2	47.1	27.6	74.8	
		258	100	29.4	41.6	29	70.6	
	8	229	100	37.9	44.3	17.8	62.1	
				Science				
	3 4	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
6		N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
2009	5	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
2(	6	124	100	19.2	59.2	21.7	80.8	
	7	218	100	17.1	44.4	38.5	82.9	
	8	106	100	24.5	42.2	33.3	75.5	
	3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
0	4	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
2010	5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
2	6	109	100	24.8	50.5	24.8	75.2	
	7	258	100	15.9	35.1	49	84.1	
	8	115	100	17.3	26.4	56.4	82.7	

GILBERT MIDDLE	03/09/11-3201054
GILDLIXI WIIDDLL	03/03/11-3Z0103 <del>4</del> 1

PASS Performance By Grade Level									
	Grade	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	% Tested	% Not Met	% Met	% Exemplary	% Met or Exemplary		
Social Studies									
	3	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
6	4	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
2009	5	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
<b>5</b> (	6	121	100	10.3	58.6	31	89.7		
	7	218	100	35.1	42	22.9	64.9		
	8	106	99.1	28.7	45.5	25.7	71.3		
	3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
0	4	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
2010	5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
2(	6	112	100	17.4	56.9	25.7	82.6		
	7	258	100	35.9	40	24.1	64.1		
	8	114	99.1	31.2	42.2	26.6	68.8		
Writing									
	3	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
6	4	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
2009	5	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
7(	6	249	99.2	20.5	43.1	36.4	79.5		
	7	219	98.6	24	45.1	30.9	76		
	8	215	99.1	29.9	43.6	26.5	70.1		
	3	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
0	4	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
2010	5	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
7	6	221	100	23.1	44.8	32.1	76.9		
	7	256	98.8	28.4	48.1	23.5	71.6		
	8	226	100	27.4	41.1	31.5	72.6		